UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,900	12/10/2003	Jonathan Maron	5231-087-US01	5194
68009 7590 05/06/2009 Hanify & King, P.C. 1055 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, QING	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,900	MARON, JONATHAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Qing Chen	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	wn from consideration. 42-51 is/are rejected.	cation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the lidading of the lidading of the lidading of the drawing of the lidading of the lida	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900 Page 2

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed on March 3, 2009, entered by the RCE filed on the same date.

- 2. Claims 1, 4-10, 12-16, 19-25, 27-31, 34-40, and 42-51 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 16, 19, 31, and 34 have been amended.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 11, 17, 18, 26, 32, 33, and 41 have been canceled.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 3, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900 Page 3

Art Unit: 2191

7. Claims 1, 4-10, 12-16, 19-25, 27-31, 34-40, and 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,925,631 (hereinafter "Golden") in view of US 6,754,659 (hereinafter "Sarkar") and US 2003/0158832 (hereinafter "Sijacic").

As per Claim 1, Golden discloses:

- creating an event handler for a method node found in the markup language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.");
- registering the event handler (see Column 9: 38-40, "The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface.");
- parsing the markup language description and invoking the registered event handler (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created.

 As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked."); and
- automatically generating output code using the invoked event handler (see Column 14: 45-46, "... the taglet document is written to the output stream 15."; Column 17: 25-38, "FIG. 7 illustrates examples in which the above-described embodiments are used for

Page 4

transforming an XML input stream into a different output stream." and "The XBF engine 13 processes the XML input document 14 as described in the context of FIGS. 5 and 6, using bindings 12 which define the mapping between tags in the XML input document 14 and classes (e.g. JAVA classes), which give "behavior" to the tags. In one the examples depicted in FIG. 7, the "behavior" is the translation of the XML input document 14 into a HTML output document 50.").

However, Golden does not disclose:

- receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class;
- introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter; and
- automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class.

Sarkar discloses:

- receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class (see Column 6: 50-55, "Then, at step 606, the Access Bean object AB701 retrieves environment information about generic EJB 720, locates it, and creates an instance of the generic EJB 720 using standard EJB API calls." It is inherent that the generic EJB includes at least one input class.); and

introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter (see Column 5: 58-64, "To create the code for the generic EJB, all the programmer needs to know are the classes of the support code to be generated (in this example, described in more detail below, the classes are an "Access Bean class," the "properties class," and the "helper class"); the programmer can then define the generic EJB to perform reflection on these classes in a known manner."; Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sarkar</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class; and introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. The modification would be obvious because one of

ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to extract information from applications without modifying the applications themselves (see <u>Sarkar</u> – Column 2: 10-13).

Sijacic discloses:

- automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class (see Paragraph [0119], "Once a Java class that implements the ISimpleWorkPerformer interface is created and compiled, an XML description file for the class is defined. The XML description file specifies the environment, input, and output parameters that the class uses. In addition, the XML file specifies some optional design parameters that may control the custom activity's appearance in the process builder 391.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sijacic</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to describe a class of data objects using tags (see Golden – Column 3: 40-48).

As per Claim 4, the rejection of Claim 3 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating an Extensible Markup Language description of the input class based on the automatically generated information relating to the input class (see Figure 1; Column 6: 36-38, "FIG. 1 shows an example of an extensible markup language input stream, here a fragment of a XML document which represents a small section of an order.").

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900

Art Unit: 2191

As per Claim 5, the rejection of Claim 4 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

Page 7

- creating a Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language Event handler for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 7, the rejection of Claim 1 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a plurality of event handlers for a method node found in the markup language description (see Figure 2; Column 6: 51-61, "FIG. 2 illustrates that the tags of the XML fragment of FIG. 1 are mapped to classes of an object-oriented programming language by arrows pointing from each tag to a class. More specifically, the arrows point to "init" methods at the start-tags and to "run" methods at the end-tags.").

As per Claim 8, the rejection of Claim 7 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering each of the plurality of event handlers (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900

Art Unit: 2191

As per Claim 9, the rejection of Claim 8 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

Page 8

- parsing the markup language description and invoking each of the plurality of registered event handlers (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 10, the rejection of Claim 9 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating output code using each of the plurality of invoked event handler in parallel (see Column 14: 45-46, "... the taglet document is written to the output stream 15."; Column 18: 12-13, "If the branching is not conditional, the two branches following the first engine work in parallel.").

As per Claim 12, the rejection of Claim 10 is incorporated; however, <u>Golden</u> does not disclose:

- extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and
- for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method.

Sarkar discloses:

- extracting information identifying methods included in the input class (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java

class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument."); and

- for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sarkar</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to extract information from applications without modifying the applications themselves (see Sarkar – Column 2: 10-13).

As per Claim 13, the rejection of Claim 12 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating an Extensible Markup Language description of the input class based on the automatically generated information relating to the input class (see Figure 1;

Column 6: 36-38, "FIG. 1 shows an example of an extensible markup language input stream, here a fragment of a XML document which represents a small section of an order.").

As per Claim 14, the rejection of Claim 13 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a plurality of Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Figure 2; Column 6: 51-61, "FIG. 2 illustrates that the tags of the XML fragment of FIG. 1 are mapped to classes of an object-oriented programming language by arrows pointing from each tag to a class. More specifically, the arrows point to "init" methods at the start-tags and to "run" methods at the end-tags.").

As per Claim 16, Golden discloses:

- a processor operable to execute computer program instructions (see Column 7: 34-35, "... a computer system 10 with a processing unit and storage 11 for processing programs.");
- a memory operable to store computer program instructions executable by the processor (see Column 7: 34-35, "... a computer system 10 with a processing unit and storage 11 for processing programs."); and
- computer program instructions stored in the memory and executable (see Column 6: 25-28, "The disclosed embodiments of the computer program product comprise the disclosed program code which, for example, is stored on a computer-readable data carrier ...") to perform the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900

Art Unit: 2191

- creating an event handler for a method node found in the markup language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.");

Page 11

- registering the event handler (see Column 9: 38-40, "The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface.");
- parsing the markup language description and invoking the registered event handler (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked."); and
- automatically generating output code using the invoked event handler (see Column 14: 45-46, "... the taglet document is written to the output stream 15."; Column 17: 25-38, "FIG. 7 illustrates examples in which the above-described embodiments are used for transforming an XML input stream into a different output stream." and "The XBF engine 13 processes the XML input document 14 as described in the context of FIGS. 5 and 6, using bindings 12 which define the mapping between tags in the XML input document 14 and classes (e.g. JAVA classes), which give "behavior" to the tags. In one the examples depicted in FIG. 7,

the "behavior" is the translation of the XML input document 14 into a HTML output document 50.").

However, Golden does not disclose:

- receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class;
- introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter; and
- automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class.

Sarkar discloses:

- receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class (see Column 6: 50-55, "Then, at step 606, the Access Bean object AB701 retrieves environment information about generic EJB 720, locates it, and creates an instance of the generic EJB 720 using standard EJB API calls." It is inherent that the generic EJB includes at least one input class.); and
- introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter (see Column 5: 58-64, "To create the code for the generic EJB, all the programmer needs to know are the classes of the support code

to be generated (in this example, described in more detail below, the classes are an "Access Bean class," the "properties class," and the "helper class"); the programmer can then define the generic EJB to perform reflection on these classes in a known manner."; Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sarkar</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class; and introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to extract information from applications without modifying the applications themselves (see <u>Sarkar</u> – Column 2: 10-13).

Sijacic discloses:

- automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class (see Paragraph [0119], "Once a Java class that implements the ISimpleWorkPerformer interface is created and compiled, an XML

description file for the class is defined. The XML description file specifies the environment, input, and output parameters that the class uses. In addition, the XML file specifies some optional design parameters that may control the custom activity's appearance in the process builder 391.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sijacic</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to describe a class of data objects using tags (see <u>Golden – Column 3: 40-48</u>).

As per Claim 19, the rejection of Claim 18 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating an Extensible Markup Language description of the input class based on the automatically generated information relating to the input class (see Figure 1; Column 6: 36-38, "FIG. 1 shows an example of an extensible markup language input stream, here a fragment of a XML document which represents a small section of an order.").

As per Claim 20, the rejection of Claim 19 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup

Language event handler for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language

description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing

process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900 Page 15

Art Unit: 2191

org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 31, Golden discloses:

- a computer readable medium (see Column 6: 25-28, "... a computer-readable data carrier ..."); and
- computer program instructions, recorded on the computer readable medium, executable by a processor, (see Column 6: 25-28, "The disclosed embodiments of the computer program product comprise the disclosed program code which, for example, is stored on a computer-readable data carrier ...") for performing the steps of:
- creating an event handler for a method node found in the markup language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.");
- registering the event handler (see Column 9: 38-40, "The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface.");
- parsing the markup language description and invoking the registered event handler (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created.

 As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the

Page 16

taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked."); and

- automatically generating output code using the invoked event handler (see Column 14: 45-46, "... the taglet document is written to the output stream 15."; Column 17: 25-38, "FIG. 7 illustrates examples in which the above-described embodiments are used for transforming an XML input stream into a different output stream." and "The XBF engine 13 processes the XML input document 14 as described in the context of FIGS. 5 and 6, using bindings 12 which define the mapping between tags in the XML input document 14 and classes (e.g. JAVA classes), which give "behavior" to the tags. In one the examples depicted in FIG. 7, the "behavior" is the translation of the XML input document 14 into a HTML output document 50.").

However, Golden does not disclose:

- receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class;
- introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter; and
- automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class.

Sarkar discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900

Art Unit: 2191

- receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class (see Column 6: 50-55, "Then, at step 606, the Access Bean object AB701 retrieves environment information about generic EJB 720, locates it, and creates an instance of the generic EJB 720 using standard EJB API calls." It is inherent that the generic EJB includes at least one input class.); and

Page 17

introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter (see Column 5: 58-64, "To create the code for the generic EJB, all the programmer needs to know are the classes of the support code to be generated (in this example, described in more detail below, the classes are an "Access Bean class," the "properties class," and the "helper class"); the programmer can then define the generic EJB to perform reflection on these classes in a known manner."; Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sarkar</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include receiving an archive file to be deployed, wherein the archive file includes at least one input class;

and introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class by extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to extract information from applications without modifying the applications themselves (see Sarkar – Column 2: 10-13).

Sijacic discloses:

- automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class (see Paragraph [0119], "Once a Java class that implements the ISimpleWorkPerformer interface is created and compiled, an XML description file for the class is defined. The XML description file specifies the environment, input, and output parameters that the class uses. In addition, the XML file specifies some optional design parameters that may control the custom activity's appearance in the process builder 391.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sijacic</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include automatically generating a markup language description of the input class based on the generated information relating to the input class. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to describe a class of data objects using tags (see <u>Golden – Column 3: 40-48</u>).

As per Claim 34, the rejection of Claim 33 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating an Extensible Markup Language description of the input class based on the automatically generated information relating to the input class (see Figure 1; Column 6: 36-38, "FIG. 1 shows an example of an extensible markup language input stream, here a fragment of a XML document which represents a small section of an order.").

As per Claim 35, the rejection of Claim 34 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language Extensible Markup Language Language event handler for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 37, the rejection of Claim 31 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a plurality of event handlers for a method node found in the markup language description (see Figure 2; Column 6: 51-61, "FIG. 2 illustrates that the tags of the XML fragment of FIG. 1 are mapped to classes of an object-oriented programming language by arrows pointing from each tag to a class. More specifically, the arrows point to "init" methods at the start-tags and to "run" methods at the end-tags.").

As per Claim 38, the rejection of Claim 37 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering each of the plurality of event handlers (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 39, the rejection of Claim 38 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the markup language description and invoking each of the plurality of registered event handlers (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 40, the rejection of Claim 39 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating output code using each of the plurality of invoked event handler in parallel (see Column 14: 45-46, "... the taglet document is written to the output stream 15."; Column 18: 12-13, "If the branching is not conditional, the two branches following the first engine work in parallel.").

As per Claim 42, the rejection of Claim 40 is incorporated; however, <u>Golden</u> does not disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900 Page 21

Art Unit: 2191

- extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and

- for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method.

Sarkar discloses:

- extracting information identifying methods included in the input class (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument."); and

- for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sarkar</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method. The modification would be obvious

because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to extract information from applications without modifying the applications themselves (see <u>Sarkar</u> – Column 2: 10-13).

As per Claim 43, the rejection of Claim 42 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating an Extensible Markup Language description of the input class based on the automatically generated information relating to the input class (see Figure 1; Column 6: 36-38, "FIG. 1 shows an example of an extensible markup language input stream, here a fragment of a XML document which represents a small section of an order.").

As per Claim 44, the rejection of Claim 43 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a plurality of Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Figure 2; Column 6: 51-61, "FIG. 2 illustrates that the tags of the XML fragment of FIG. 1 are mapped to classes of an object-oriented programming language by arrows pointing from each tag to a class. More specifically, the arrows point to "init" methods at the start-tags and to "run" methods at the end-tags.").

As per Claim 46, the rejection of Claim 5 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering the created Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible

Markup Language event handler for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language

description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing

process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the

org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 6, the rejection of Claim 46 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description using a Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language parser and invoking the Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handler (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 47, the rejection of Claim 20 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering the created Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handler for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900

Art Unit: 2191

As per Claim 21, the rejection of Claim 47 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

Page 24

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description using a Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language parser and invoking the Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handler (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 22, the rejection of Claim 21 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a plurality of event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Figure 2; Column 6: 51-61, "FIG. 2 illustrates that the tags of the XML fragment of FIG. 1 are mapped to classes of an object-oriented programming language by arrows pointing from each tag to a class. More specifically, the arrows point to "init" methods at the start-tags and to "run" methods at the end-tags.").

As per Claim 23, the rejection of Claim 22 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering each of the plurality of event handlers (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event

handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 24, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description and invoking each of the plurality of registered event handlers (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 25, the rejection of Claim 24 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating output code using each of the plurality of invoked event handler in parallel (see Column 14: 45-46, "... the taglet document is written to the output stream 15."; Column 18: 12-13, "If the branching is not conditional, the two branches following the first engine work in parallel.").

As per Claim 27, the rejection of Claim 25 is incorporated; however, <u>Golden</u> does not disclose:

- extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and
- for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900 Page 26

Art Unit: 2191

Sarkar discloses:

- extracting information identifying methods included in the input class (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument."); and
- for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Sarkar</u> into the teaching of <u>Golden</u> to include extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to extract information from applications without modifying the applications themselves (see Sarkar – Column 2: 10-13).

As per Claim 28, the rejection of Claim 27 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- automatically generating an Extensible Markup Language description of the input class based on the automatically generated information relating to the input class (see Figure 1; Column 6: 36-38, "FIG. 1 shows an example of an extensible markup language input stream, here a fragment of a XML document which represents a small section of an order.").

As per Claim 29, the rejection of Claim 28 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- creating a plurality of Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Figure 2; Column 6: 51-61, "FIG. 2 illustrates that the tags of the XML fragment of FIG. 1 are mapped to classes of an object-oriented programming language by arrows pointing from each tag to a class. More specifically, the arrows point to "init" methods at the start-tags and to "run" methods at the end-tags.").

As per Claim 48, the rejection of Claim 35 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering the created Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handler for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 36, the rejection of Claim 48 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description using a Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language parser and invoking the Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handler (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 49, the rejection of Claim 44 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering the plurality of created Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 45, the rejection of Claim 49 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description using a Simple Application

Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language parser and invoking the plurality of

Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers (see Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 50, the rejection of Claim 14 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering the plurality of created Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 15, the rejection of Claim 50 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description using a Simple Application
Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language parser and invoking the plurality of
Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers (see
Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an
XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is

bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

As per Claim 51, the rejection of Claim 29 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- registering the plurality of created Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers for a method node found in the Extensible Markup Language description (see Column 9: 37-41, "The SAX parser, an event-driven API, is used for the parsing process. The application registers an event handler to a parser object that implements the org.sax.Parser interface. The event handler interface DocumentHandler is called whenever an element is found in the input stream.").

As per Claim 30, the rejection of Claim 51 is incorporated; and Golden further discloses:

- parsing the Extensible Markup Language description using a Simple Application
Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language parser and invoking the plurality of
Simple Application Programming Interface for Extensible Markup Language event handlers (see
Column 9: 53-61, "Upon parsing the input stream, a DOM representation of it is created. As an
XML tag is found, an object (e.g. a JAVA class), as defined by the corresponding binding, is
bound to the DOM tree for the specific tag. A tag with behavior (e.g. a JAVA class) bound to it is
called a "taglet". As will be explained in more detail below, a init () method is invoked on the

taglet. After all of the taglet's children (possibly zero) have been added to the DOM representation, the taglet's run () method is invoked.").

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on March 3, 2009 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

In the Remarks, Applicant argues:

a) Rather, the Examiner cites Sarkar at col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 4 as disclosing this requirement. However, as disclosed by Sarkar, JAVA class introspection is performed to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable. First, the introspection performed by Sarkar does not automatically generate information relating to an input class in an archive file, but rather obtains information for the helper object, which, as disclosed by Sarkar, is not part of the input class, but rather is part of an associated helper class (col. 6, lines 45-47). Thus, Sarkar does not disclose or suggest introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class. Second, for this helper object, Sarkar only discloses retrieving the static variable of properties object C1 and obtaining the name of the helper object to instantiate to process object C1. This is different than the requirement of the claims to extracting information identifying methods included in the input class; and for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter. Sarkar does not disclose or suggest extracting information

identifying methods included in the input class because Sarkar only discloses identifying the name of the helper object, which, as disclosed by Sarkar, is not included in the input class. Further, Sarkar does not disclose or suggest extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter because Sarkar discloses identifying the name of the helper object, which, as disclosed by Sarkar, is not included in the input class and further, is not a name or type of a parameter of a method, and because Sarkar discloses retrieving the static variable of properties object C1, which also is not included in the input class, and in any case, is not a name or a type of a parameter of a method included in the input class.

Examiner's response:

a) Examiner disagrees. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for at least the following reasons:

First, with respect to the Applicant's assertion that the introspection performed by Sarkar does not automatically generate information relating to an input class in an archive file, but rather obtains information for the helper object, which, as disclosed by Sarkar, is not part of the input class, but rather is part of an associated helper class, as previously pointed out in the Non-Final Rejection (mailed on 11/28/2007) and the Final Rejection (mailed on 09/03/2008) and further clarified hereinafter, the Examiner respectfully submits that Sarkar clearly discloses "introspecting an input class included in the archive file to automatically generate information relating to the input class" (see Column 5: 58-64, "To create the code for the generic EJB, all the programmer needs to know are the classes of the support code to be generated (in this example,

Page 33

Art Unit: 2191

described in more detail below, the classes are an "Access Bean class," the "properties class," and the "helper class"); the programmer can then define the generic EJB to perform reflection on these classes in a known manner."; Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument."). Note that the generic EJB uses Java™ introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and the main execution method of the helper object (information relating to the input class). Those of ordinary skill in the art would readily comprehend that an object is an instance of a class and hence, the helper object is an instance of the helper class.

Second, with respect to the Applicant's assertion that Sarkar does not disclose or suggest extracting information identifying methods included in the input class because Sarkar only discloses identifying the name of the helper object, which, as disclosed by Sarkar, is not included in the input class, the Examiner respectfully submits that Sarkar clearly discloses "extracting information identifying methods included in the input class" (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900

Art Unit: 2191

properties object C1 as an argument."). Note that the generic EJB uses JavaTM introspection/reflection to retrieve the main execution method of the helper object (information identifying methods included in the input class).

Page 34

Third, with respect to the Applicant's assertion that Sarkar does not disclose or suggest extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter because Sarkar discloses identifying the name of the helper object, which, as disclosed by Sarkar, is not included in the input class and further, is not a name or type of a parameter of a method, and because Sarkar discloses retrieving the static variable of properties object C1, which also is not included in the input class, and in any case, is not a name or a type of a parameter of a method included in the input class, the Examiner respectfully submits that Sarkar clearly discloses "for each method, extracting information relating to parameters of the method including at least a name and a type of each parameter" (see Column 6: 66 and 67 to Column 7: 1-4, "Specifically, at step 608, the generic EJB 720 uses Java class/introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 and obtain the name of the helper object it needs to instantiate which is based on the previously-set static variable." and 8-11, "At step 612, the generic EJB 720 uses Java reflection to get the main execution method of the helper object HO701 and, at step 614, invokes it, passing in the properties object C1 as an argument."). Note that the generic EJB uses JavaTM introspection/reflection to retrieve the static variable of properties object C1 (at least a name and a type of each parameter) as well as the main execution method of the helper object. The main execution method of the helper object is then invoked and the static variable of properties object C1 is passed in as an argument (information relating to parameters of the method).

Therefore, for at least the reasons set forth above, the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) with respect to Claims 1, 16, and 31 are proper and therefore, maintained.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Qing Chen whose telephone number is 571-270-1071. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen, can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Q. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2191

Application/Control Number: 10/730,900 Page 36

Art Unit: 2191

/Wei Y Zhen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191